

For those reasons, Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on the previous question and "no" on the underlying measure. I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Madam Speaker, let me start by saying that the majority leader hardly needs me to defend him, but I know that he was a strong supporter of the D.C. voting rights constitutional amendment where there was actually bipartisan support.

In those days, Republicans understood the grievous injustice being perpetrated against the people of Washington, D.C., and they supported granting people in D.C. two Senators, or what my colleague would call two ultraliberal Senators, as well as the Representatives in the House to which they were due.

There were certainly people who were saying there were other ways of accomplishing it. Now, unfortunately, that bipartisan consensus collapsed even though it passed the Senate and the House back in the day. I don't hear any of my colleagues saying they are for it now.

Mr. HOYER, the majority leader, is supporting the only viable vehicle for getting equal rights for people in Washington, D.C., that exists today, which is statehood, which is how 37 new States entered the Union with Congress' exercise of its powers under Article IV of the Constitution.

The gentleman waxed eloquent about the vision of a great Capital City, but being a strict textualist, I assume that he wants to pay some attention to the text of the Constitution. Article I, Section 8, Clause 17, the District Clause, sets a maximum, a ceiling that the District may be no more than 10 miles square, but there is no minimum there. It didn't say it must be at least 6 miles square or 2 miles square or 3 miles. No, that is up to Congress. In other words, it is a political question within congressional power, our plenary power, over the District of Columbia.

Finally, the gentleman, I suppose, gets to the heart of the matter when he says that, for him, it is all about two ultraliberal Senators. I would ask every Member of this body to think about that for a second, reflect on that. In America, I don't think we deny people voting rights based on how they are going to vote. I don't think we deny entire States and political communities representation based on predictions of who they might elect.

In fact, there is a Supreme Court case about that called *Carrington v. Rash*. When armed services members in Texas were disenfranchised because it was suggested they would vote in a way more identified with the national government than with local cultural values in Texas, the Supreme Court struck it down and said that, in American democracy, we do not allow government to disenfranchise people based on predictions of how they are going to vote or who they are going to elect.

That is precisely what the gentleman invites us to do here, to deny 712,000 taxpaying, draftable U.S. citizens, who came to our aid on January 6, to deny them their equal rights under the Union, under the flag, because he predicts that they are going to elect people whose policy views are contrary to his own.

Madam Speaker, I would suggest that is totally antithetical to the meaning of American constitutional democracy. Everyone should take a walk around Washington. You will see flags in the yards of all the people here, yards that we pass by every day when we come to Washington, and they say, "D.C. 51." They want their statehood. Let's listen to the people of Washington.

If you can't quite stomach that, then read the Republican Party platform itself, which calls for Puerto Rican statehood, and let's see if we can do it together. Let's bring in millions of disenfranchised people in America.

Still, I get radio silence from my colleagues on that. Not a single one will opine about whether or not the people of Puerto Rico should be admitted as a State.

Madam Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to vote "yes" on the rule and the previous question.

The material previously referred to by Mr. RESCIENTHALER is as follows:

AMENDMENT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 330

At the end of the resolution, add the following:

SEC. 8. Immediately upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall resolve into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2321) to direct the Secretary of Homeland Security to establish a plan to respond to irregular migration surges at the border, to establish an irregular migration surge border response fund, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Homeland Security. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. When the committee rises and reports the bill back to the House with a recommendation that the bill do pass, the previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommend. If the Committee of the Whole rises and reports that it has come to no resolution on the bill, then on the next legislative day the House shall, immediately after the third daily order of business under clause 1 of rule XIV, resolve into the Committee of the Whole for further consideration of the bill.

SEC. 9. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 2321.

Mr. RASKIN. Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. RESCIENTHALER. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3(s) of House Resolution 8, the yeas and nays are ordered.

Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question are postponed.

RAISING A QUESTION OF PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Mr. MCCARTHY. Madam Speaker, I rise to a question of the privileges of the House, and I offer H. Res. 331.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

H. RES. 331

Whereas on the evening of April 17, 2021, Representative Maxine M. Waters of California joined protestors in Brooklyn Center, Minnesota, who were gathered outside the Brooklyn Center Police Department;

Whereas Representative Maxine M. Waters said, "We're looking for a guilty verdict" in the trial of Derek Chauvin;

Whereas Representative Maxine M. Waters said that if there was not a guilty verdict, protestors on the street should "... Stay on the street, and we've got to get more active, we've got to get more confrontational, we've got to make sure they know we mean business.";

Whereas on April 19, 2021, the judge in the trial of Derek Chauvin, Judge Peter Cahill, said in reply to Derek Chauvin's defense attorney, "I'll give you that Congresswoman Waters may have given you something on appeal that may result in this whole trial being overturned.";

Whereas Judge Cahill stated, "I wish elected officials would stop talking about this case, especially in a manner that is disrespectful to the rule of law and to the judicial branch and our function.";

Whereas Judge Cahill stated, "I think if they want to give their opinions, they should do so in a respectful manner, and in a manner that is consistent with their oath to the Constitution. To respect the coequal branch of government. Their failure to do so I think is abhorrent.";

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That—

(1) Representative Maxine M. Waters of California be censured;

(2) Representative Maxine M. Waters forthwith present herself in the well of the House for the pronouncement of censure; and

(3) Representative Maxine M. Waters be censured with the public reading of this resolution by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The resolution qualifies.

MOTION TO TABLE

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I have a motion at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Hoyer moves that the resolution be laid on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to table.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCCARTHY. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3(s) of House Resolution 8, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 210, not voting 4, as follows:

[Roll No. 122]

YEAS—216

Adams	Gonzalez,	Omar
Aguilar	Vicente	Pallone
Allred	Gottheimer	Panetta
Auchincloss	Green, Al (TX)	Pappas
Axne	Grijalva	Pascarell
Barragán	Harder (CA)	Payne
Bass	Hayes	Pelosi
Beatty	Higgins (NY)	Perlmutter
Bera	Himes	Peters
Beyer	Horsford	Phillips
Bishop (GA)	Houlihan	Pingree
Blumenauer	Hoyer	Pocan
Blunt Rochester	Huffman	Porter
Bonamici	Jackson Lee	Pressley
Bourdeaux	Jacobs (CA)	Price (NC)
Bowman	Jayapal	Quigley
Boyle, Brendan	Jeffries	Raskin
F.	Johnson (TX)	Rice (NY)
Brown	Jones	Ross
Brownley	Kahele	Roybal-Allard
Bush	Kaptur	Ruiz
Bustos	Keating	Ruppersberger
Butterfield	Kelly (IL)	Rush
Carbajal	Khanna	Ryan
Cárdenas	Kildee	Sánchez
Carson	Kilmer	Sarbanes
Cartwright	Kim (NJ)	Scanlon
Case	Kind	Schakowsky
Casten	Kirkpatrick	Schiff
Castor (FL)	Krishnamoorthi	Schneider
Castro (TX)	Kuster	Schrader
Chu	Lamb	Schrier
Ciilline	Langevin	Scott (VA)
Clark (MA)	Larsen (WA)	Scott, David
Clarke (NY)	Larson (CT)	Sewell
Cleaver	Lawrence	Sherman
Clyburn	Lawson (FL)	Sherrill
Cohen	Lee (CA)	Sires
Connolly	Lee (NV)	Slotkin
Cooper	Leger Fernandez	Smith (WA)
Correa	Levin (CA)	Soto
Costa	Levin (MI)	Spanberger
Courtney	Lieu	Speier
Craig	Lofgren	Stanton
Crist	Lowenthal	Stevens
Crow	Luria	Strickland
Cuellar	Lynch	Suozi
Davids (KS)	Malinowski	Swaiwell
Dean	Maloney,	Takano
DeFazio	Carolyn B.	Thompson (CA)
DeGette	Maloney, Sean	Thompson (MS)
DeLauro	Manning	Titus
DelBene	Matsui	Tlaib
Delgado	McBath	Tonko
Demings	McCollum	Torres (CA)
DeSaulnier	McEachin	Torres (NY)
Deutch	McGovern	Trahan
Dingell	McNerney	Trone
Doggett	Meeks	Underwood
Doyle, Michael	Meng	Vargas
F.	Mfume	Veasey
Escobar	Moore (WI)	Vela
Eshoo	Morelle	Velázquez
Espallat	Moulton	Wasserman
Evans	Mirman	Schultz
Fletcher	Murphy (FL)	Waters
Foster	Nadler	Watson Coleman
Frankel, Lois	Napolitano	Welch
Galleo	Neal	Wexton
Garamendi	Neguse	Wild
Garcia (IL)	Newman	Williams (GA)
Garcia (TX)	Norcross	Wilson (FL)
Golden	O'Halleran	Yarmuth
Gomez	Ocasio-Cortez	

NAYS—210

Aderholt	Bishop (NC)	Cawthorn
Allen	Boebert	Chabot
Amodei	Bost	Cheney
Armstrong	Brady	Cline
Arrington	Brooks	Cloud
Babin	Buchanan	Cole
Bacon	Buck	Comer
Baird	Bucshon	Crawford
Balderson	Budd	Crenshaw
Banks	Burchett	Curtis
Barr	Burgess	Davidson
Bentz	Calvert	Davis, Rodney
Bergman	Cammack	DesJarlais
Bice (OK)	Carl	Diaz-Balart
Biggs	Carter (GA)	Donalds
Bilirakis	Carter (TX)	Duncan

Dunn	Johnson (SD)	Posey
Emmer	Jordan	Reed
Estes	Joyce (OH)	Reschenthaler
Fallon	Joyce (PA)	Rice (SC)
Feenstra	Katko	Rodgers (WA)
Ferguson	Keller	Rogers (AL)
Fischbach	Kelly (MS)	Rogers (KY)
Fitzgerald	Kelly (PA)	Rose
Fitzpatrick	Kim (CA)	Rosendale
Fleischmann	Kinzinger	Rouzer
Fortenberry	Kustoff	Roy
Fox	LaHood	Rutherford
Franklin, C.	LaMalfa	Salazar
Scott	Lamborn	Scalise
Fulcher	Latta	Schweikert
Gaetz	LaTurner	Scott, Austin
Gallagher	Lesko	Sessions
Garbarino	Letlow	Simpson
Garcia (CA)	Long	Smith (MO)
Gibbs	Loudermilk	Smith (NJ)
Gimenez	Lucas	Smucker
Gohmert	Luetkemeyer	Spartz
Gonzales, Tony	Mace	Stauber
Gonzalez (OH)	Malliotakis	Steel
Good (VA)	Mann	Stefanik
Gooden (TX)	Massie	Steil
Gosar	Mast	Steube
Granger	McCarthy	Stewart
Graves (LA)	McCauley	Stivers
Graves (MO)	McClain	Taylor
Green (TN)	McClintock	Tenney
Greene (GA)	McHenry	Thompson (PA)
Griffith	McKinley	Tiffany
Grothman	Meijer	Timmons
Guest	Meuser	Turner
Guthrie	Miller (IL)	Upton
Hagedorn	Miller (WV)	Valadao
Harris	Miller-Meeks	Van Drew
Harshbarger	Moolenaar	Van Dwyne
Hartzler	Mooney	Wagner
Hern	Moore (AL)	Walberg
Herrell	Moore (UT)	Walorski
Herrera Beutler	Mullin	Waltz
Hice (GA)	Murphy (NC)	Weber (TX)
Higgins (LA)	Nehls	Webster (FL)
Hill	Newhouse	Wenstrup
Hinson	Norman	Westerman
Hollingsworth	Nunes	Williams (TX)
Hudson	Oberholte	Wilson (SC)
Huizenga	Owens	Wittman
Issa	Palazzo	Womack
Jackson	Palmer	Young
Jacobs (NY)	Pence	Zeldin
Johnson (LA)	Perry	
Johnson (OH)	Pfleger	

NOT VOTING—4

Clyde Johnson (GA)
Davis, Danny K. Smith (NE)

□ 1627

Mr. WITTMAN changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the motion to table was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Allred (Wexton)	Lawson (FL)	Palazzo
Barragán (Beyer)	(Evans)	(Fleischmann)
Cárdenas	Leger Fernandez	Payne (Pallone)
(Gallego)	(Jacobs (CA))	Porter (Wexton)
Costa (Correa)	Lieu (Beyer)	Rush
Crenshaw	Lowenthal	(Underwood)
(Fallon)	(Beyer)	Sewell (DelBene)
Donalds	Meng (Clark)	Stefanik (Katko)
(Cammack)	(MA))	Trahan (Lynch)
Gibbs	Mfume	Watson Coleman
(Balderson)	(Connolly)	(Pallone)
Grijalva (García	Moulton	Welch
(IL))	(Perlmutter)	(McGovern)
Kirkpatrick	Napolitano	Wilson (FL)
(Stanton)	(Correa)	(Hayes)
Diaz-Balart	Omar (Bush)	Wilson (SC)
Langevin		(Timmons)
(Lynch)		

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 51, WASHINGTON, D.C. ADMISSION ACT; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1573, ACCESS TO COUNSEL ACT OF 2021; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1333, NATIONAL ORIGIN-BASED ANTIDISCRIMINATION FOR NONIMMIGRANTS ACT; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. BROWNLEY). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 330) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 51) to provide for the admission of the State of Washington, D.C. into the Union; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1573) to clarify the rights of all persons who are held or detained at a port of entry or at any detention facility overseen by U.S. Customs and Border Protection or U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1333) to transfer and limit Executive Branch authority to suspend or restrict the entry of a class of aliens; and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 206, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 123]

YEAS—216

Adams	Crow	Jayapal
Aguilar	Cuellar	Jeffries
Allred	Davids (KS)	Johnson (GA)
Auchincloss	Davis, Danny K.	Johnson (TX)
Axne	Dean	Jones
Barragán	DeFazio	Kahele
Bass	DeGette	Kaptur
Beatty	DeLauro	Keating
Bera	DelBene	Kelly (IL)
Beyer	Delgado	Khanna
Bishop (GA)	Demings	Kildee
Blumenauer	DeSaulnier	Kilmer
Blunt Rochester	Deutch	Kim (NJ)
Bonamici	Dingell	Kind
Bourdeaux	Doggett	Kirkpatrick
Bowman	Doyle, Michael	Krishnamoorthi
Boyle, Brendan	F.	Kuster
F.	Escobar	Lamb
Brown	Eshoo	Langevin
Brownley	Espallat	Larsen (WA)
Bush	Evans	Larson (CT)
Bustos	Fletcher	Lawrence
Butterfield	Foster	Lawson (FL)
Carbajal	Frankel, Lois	Lee (CA)
Cárdenas	Gallego	Lee (NV)
Carson	Garamendi	Leger Fernandez
Cartwright	Garcia (IL)	Levin (CA)
Case	Garcia (TX)	Levin (MI)
Casten	Lieu	Lofgren
Castor (FL)	Gomez	Lowenthal
Castro (TX)	Gonzalez,	Luria
Chu	Vicente	Lynch
Ciilline	Gottheimer	Malinowski
Clark (MA)	Green, Al (TX)	Maloney,
Clarke (NY)	Grijalva	Carolyn B.
Cleaver	Harder (CA)	Maloney, Sean
Clyburn	Hayes	Manning
Cohen	Higgins (NY)	Matsui
Connolly	Himes	McBath
Cooper	Horsford	McCollum
Correa	Houlihan	McEachin
Costa	Hoyer	McGovern
Courtney	Huffman	McNerney
Craig	Jackson Lee	Meeks
Crist	Jacobs (CA)	